

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	D	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/072,743		02/07/2002	Ronnie M. Harrison	3758.2US (97-0153.1)	4046
24247	7590	03/31/2004		EXAMINER	
TRASK I	3RITT		•	NGUYEN, D	ONGHAI D
P.O. BOX	2550			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
SALT LA	KE CITY,	UT 84110		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3729	

DATE MAILED: 03/31/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	10/072,743	HARRISON ET AL.					
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Donghai D. Nguyen	3729					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 15 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE:	otion(s):						
<ul> <li>3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>							
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		sidered but does NOT place the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		to issues which were newly					
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: <u>16-18</u> .							
Claim(s) objected to: 4-6 and 9.							
Claim(s) rejected: 1-3 and 7-11.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: None.							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app							
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:	7	5					
	PETERVO						
S. Patent and Trademark Office		PATENT EXAMINER  Y CENTER 3700					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

. 8

Note: Applicants argue that the prior art of record fails to disclose the claimed invention. However, Kilby et al's Fig. 2 discloses the claimed invention having the line spacing between the general arcute-shaped portion of each of the at least two conductors is constant and have a different arc length than any other generally arcute-shaped portion of any other conductor of the at least two conductors. Furthermore, Applicants have not claim the actual length, dimension, or radius of the general arcute-shaped portion or the art length of the at least two conductors that having the constant spacing or different arc length.